No-touch technique of saphenous vein harvesting for coronary artery bypass grafting gives promise patency rate comparable to that of the left internal thoracic artery
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The paper presents review of the literature in terms of the advantages of no-touch great saphenous vein (GSV) harvesting technique and its impact on long-term GSV patency for coronary artery bypass grafting compared to conventional method of vein harvesting. Presented detailed pathophysiological mechanisms of venous graft failure, using conventional GSV harvesting.

Purpose. Analysis of literature data for the optimal choice of additional vascular shunt used for coronary bypass grafting in multi-vessel coronary artery disease, based on patency rate.

Conclusion. No-touch technique of GSV harvesting provides better structural, functional, and mechanical protection of the vein wall. Perfecting the technique of this harvesting method and long-term follow up in patients with no-touch GSV grafts may reveal a graft patency comparable to that of LITA.
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Worldwide, left internal thoracic artery (LITA) is accepted as the ‘gold standard’ for surgical revascularization and its usage has been steadily increasing. Despite the widespread use of the internal thoracic artery (ITA) and other arterial conduits, the great saphenous vein (GSV) continues to be the most commonly used conduit for coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) in multi-vessel coronary disease.

The use of the great saphenous vein (GSV) as a conduit for coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) was first described by Favaloro [1] almost 50 years ago, and this vein remains the most widely used graft despite a patency rate of about 50% at 10 years compared with more than 90% for the internal thoracic artery [2, 3, 37]. The outcome of coronary artery bypass grafting with the saphenous vein graft is unsatisfactory because vein grafts are prone to occlusive disease. With vein graft disease and graft closure, symptoms return. This provides occurrence of symptoms, insufficient left ventricular performance, increased need for reoperation, and decreased quality of life and life expectancy rate. More surprisingly, up to 15% of vein grafts are early occluded, just in the 1st month and another 15–30% of them are occluded in the first post-CABG year [4, 32]. Vein graft failure is the result of three main causes depending on the time after surgery: acute thrombosis, intimal hyperplasia, and atherosclerosis [5, 27]. The reason for such early occlusion can be explained by surgical technique of vein harvesting. The pedicle of tissue surrounding the SV is composed of fat, elastic tissue, nerves, and vasa vasorum, a network of nourishing microvessels supplying nutrients and oxygen to the blood vessel wall. Vasa vasorum is more pronounced in muscular veins than in arteries and extends deep into the tunica media. With conventional (C) technique of GSV harvesting the surrounding tissue, including parts of the adventitia, is stripped off and the vasa vasorum is damaged. There is experimental evidence that interruption of blood flow through the vasa vasorum in arteries by a close-fitting external cuff results in transmural ischemia that leads to neointimal hyperplasia and the eventual appearance of atherosclerotic lesions [6]. Inasmuch as the requirement for a functional vasa vasorum in veins is greater than in arteries, where oxygen/nutrients are obtained by diffusion from luminal blood, damage to this microvessel network is likely to have consequences that are more serious. Removal and disconnection of the vasa vasorum during conventional SV graft harvesting may therefore be expected to initiate similar events and could contribute to graft failure [7]. During the 1st postoperative month, acute thrombosis is the main cause of graft failure [5, 17]. From 1 to 12 months after surgery (the subacute period), intimal hyperplasia is responsible for graft failure [5, 17, 30]. Atheroma development following intimal hyperplasia is responsible for graft failure after the 1st postoperative year [17, 33]. In large veins, such as the GSV, there is an interaction between the vasa vasorum and the mechanical
properties of the vessel wall. The C for vein graft harvesting renders the vein graft spastic and therefore it has to be distended. Increased luminal pressure induces a shape change in the vasa vasorum, from their original circular appearance to an elliptical shape, this results in a reduction of blood flow in the media of the vessel causing loss of the wall elasticity [7, 8]. The vasa vasorum of the SV is densely innervated mainly by unmyelinated sympathetic nerves and the presence of several layers of surrounding smooth muscle cells implies that the vessels of the vasa vasorum actively regulate their own tone [9]. It is likely that surgical and distention-induced trauma causes constriction of vasa vasorum and consequent reduction in blood supply to the vessel wall [7]. Furthermore, Malone with colleagues demonstrated that the average venous distention pressure observed during preparation of autogenous vein grafts for arterial implantation was 300 to 500 mmHg and often exceeded 500 mmHg [10]. Consequently, high-pressure distention vessel leading to extensive damage to the endothelium of the vein wall [11, 26, 28, 35]. Pressures of 50–60 mmHg did not influence the mechanics of the vein graft, whereas pressures of 75–100 mmHg elevated the elastic modulus of the vein at the low-strain region while pressures above 130 mmHg increased the elastic moduli at both low- and high-strain regions [34].

Souza [12] proposed an innovative «no-touch (NT)» technique for the harvesting of GSV in 1996. No touch technique is an atraumatic approach to remove the GSV complete with its cushion of surrounding tissue without touching the vessel at all. This novel method contributes to better preservation of endothelial integrity and luminal nitric oxide synthase (NOS) [4, 13, 14]. Moreover, the surrounding fat tissue of the vein is a source of several vasoactive factors [4, 15]. When the SV is harvested using the «NT» technique, surgical instruments do not touch at all the vessel itself, so no spasm occurs making the distension of the vein graft unnecessary [4, 16], thus further minimizing the endothelial damage caused [8, 17]. Furthermore, the perivascular tissue may act as a natural external stent, reducing the neointimal and medial thickening of the vein graft [36] and preventing it from kinking, which is especially important when using sequential grafts. In conventional preparations the total vasa vasorum area was 37% lower than that in NT preparations, reflecting a shape change and diminished area of the vasa vasorum in conventional vein grafts [7].

According to Souza et al. [18] the harvesting technique of the GSV for CABG, which is directly related to the preoperative quality of the vein [18, 19] constitutes the most significant factor for graft patency [18]. According to this study by Souza et al., the NT technique not only provides a significantly better GSV patency rate in comparison with the conventional technique (P=0.007) but also SV patency is similar to that of the LITA [18]. According to an angiography assessment by Souza et al. [20] comparing 52 patients whose veins were harvested by the NT technique with 52 patients submitted to the conventional technique, graft patency of veins harvested with the conventional technique was 89% versus 95% for the NT technique at 18 months postoperatively [4]. Same results were reported by Rueda et al. [21], who compared NT technique with the conventional one and with an intermediate harvesting technique. The angiography assessment at a mean of 18 months showed that 118 out of the 124 veins were patent in the NT Group (95.4%) which was significantly higher than the 88.9% (113/127) patency rate of the conventional group and the 86.2% (100/116) patency rate of the intermediate group (P=0.025). Even ITA patency rate (91.5%) was lower than the NT SV patency rate in both studies [4, 20, 21]. At 8.5 years postoperatively, there was a clear difference between the two groups. NT Group presented a 90% SV graft patency whereas the patency rate of the veins prepared with the conventional technique was only 76% (P=0.01) [18]. Furthermore, the NT technique was associated with an impressively higher SV graft patency rate than the conventional technique in veins of poor quality having either varicose or fibrotic changes during surgery. The patency rate of veins harvesting at 8.5 years was 88.9% versus 36.4%, respectively (P=0.002) [4, 18]. It is important to mention that the overall long-term LITA patency was 90% at 8.5 years [18]. In a subsequent study, the same group compared long-term graft patency rates of an SV harvested by the NT technique with a radial artery graft [22]. If harvested by the conventional technique, an SV graft has a lower long-term patency rate compared to a radial artery [23]. However, the patency rate of the NT SV graft at 3 years was 94% which was significantly higher than the 82% patency rate of the radial artery graft (P=0.01) [4, 22, 29].

Similarly, Johansson et al. [4, 24] compared graft patency rates with a focus on early atherosclerotic changes between veins harvested by the NT technique and by the conventional technique in a short term at 18 months and in a long term at 8.5 years study. In the short-term study, graft patency was 89% for the NT Group, whereas it was 75% for the conventional group (P=0.006). A bolus of 12,500 IU of heparin intravenous and 0.2 mg nitroglycerin was administered and then intravascular ultrasonography was performed. If the diameter of the target coronary artery was over 2 mm forming a favorable angle with the SV graft, the ultrasound catheter was advanced into the coronary artery, or else it was advanced close to the junction of the graft with the coronary vessel. [4, 24] Intravascular ultrasonography showed less intimal thickness (P=0.03), less grafts with considerable intimal hyperplasia (P=0.011), and larger graft lumen volumes (P=0.07) in the NT Group. In the long-term study (8.5 years), the NT technique was associated with a 92.3% patency rate which was superior to the 84.4% patency rate of the conventional technique (P=0.14) [4, 24]. Intravascular ultrasonography also
revealed much more intense atherosclerotic changes in the conventional group at 8.5 years. In overall, fewer patients in the NT Group had grafts containing plaque compared to the conventional group (50% vs. 80%, P=0.13). In detail, comparing the NT group to the conventional one, there were significantly fewer grafts containing multiple plaques in the former (14.8% vs. 50%, P=0.008), significantly less advanced plaque with lipid (11.8% vs. 63.9%, P=0.0004) and significantly less maximal plaque thickness (1.04 mm vs. 1.32 mm, P=0.02). Finally, lumen volumes continued to be significantly larger in the NT Group (P=0.03) [4, 24].

According to different studies, no-touch vein graft, at a mean time of 16 years [25, 31], maintains a significantly higher patency rate than conventional harvesting of GSV grafts and still has patency comparable to that of the left internal thoracic artery (LITA) [38].

Conclusions. NT technique of GSV harvesting provides better structural, functional, and mechanical protection of the vein wall. Perfecting the technique of this harvesting method and long-term follow up in patients with NT GSV grafts may reveal a graft patency comparable to that of LITA.
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При проведении операции аортокоронарного шунтирования, используя метод no-touch, показано, что использование венозного шунта, выделенного без непосредственного контакта с его стенкой, повышает надежность и эффективность вмешательства. Методика позволяет сохранить биомеханические свойства венозных структур, что обеспечивает более стабильное течение хирургического процесса и улучшает результаты перенесенной операции. Последние исследования подтверждают, что использование no-touch методики для выделения венозных шунтов в коронарной хирургии дает надежду на новое направление в развитии стандартных технологий.

**Мета работы** — анализ литературных данных для оптимального выбора шунта при многокоронарной урежении коронарных артерий.

**Висновки**. No-touch методика позволяет сохранить биомеханические свойства венозных структур, что обеспечивает более стабильное течение хирургического процесса и улучшает результаты перенесенной операции. Последние исследования подтверждают, что использование no-touch методики для выделения венозных шунтов в коронарной хирургии дает надежду на новое направление в развитии стандартных технологий.
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Сравнение функциональной надежности венозного шунта, выделенного по методике no-touch при операции аортокоронарного шунтирования, и внутренней грудной артерии
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В статье представлен обзор литературы, доказывающий преимущество методики no-touch выделения большой подкожной вены ноги при операции аортокоронарного шунтирования по сравнению со стандартной методикой в снижении частоты нарушения функции венозных шунтов в раннем, среднем и отдаленных сроках послеоперационного периода. Детально описаны патофизиологические механизмы тромбоза венозного шунта при использовании стандартной методики выделения большой подкожной вены ноги.

Цель работы – анализ литературных данных для оптимального выбора шунта при мультисосудистом поражении коронарных артерий.

Выводы. No-touch методика выделения большой подкожной вены ноги при операции аортокоронарного шунтирования обеспечивает лучшую структурную и механическую защиту стенки вены. Использование методики no-touch может выявить функциональную надежность венозного шунта, сопоставимого с внутренней грудной артерией.
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